2021-12-3 Meeting Agenda

Date

03 Dec 2021

Antitrust Policy Notice

Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.

Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at

http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrove of the firm of Gesmer Updegrove LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.



This is a weekly series for The Regulatory Reporting Data Model Working Group. The RRDMWG is a collaborative group of insurers, regulators and other insurance industry innovators dedicated to the development of data models that will support regulatory reporting through an openIDL node. The data models to be developed will reflect a greater synchronization of data for insurer statistical and financial data and a consistent methodology that insurers and regulators can leverage to modernize the data reporting environment. The models developed will be reported to the Regulatory Reporting Steering Committee for approval for publication as an open-source data model.

openIDL Community is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

https://zoom.us/i/98908804279?pwd=Q1FGcFhUQk5RMEpkaVIFTWtXb09jQT09

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Passcode: 740215

One tap mobile

- +16699006833,,98908804279# US (San Jose)
- +12532158782,,98908804279# US (Tacoma)

Dial by your location

- +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)
- +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)
- +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
- +1 929 205 6099 US (New York)
- +1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)
- +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

888 788 0099 US Toll-free

877 853 5247 US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Find your local number: https://zoom.us/u/aAqJFpt9B

Attendees

- peter antley
- Ken Sayers
- Susan Chudwick
- Dale Harris
- Eric Lowe
- James Madison
- Kristin McDonald
- Libby Crews

- Lori Munn
- Megan Ebling
- Sandra Darby
- Stephen Lee
- Truman Esmond
- Ruturaj Waghmode

Recording:



Goals

- · Develop the min requirements for stat reporting
- · Discuss items from: Attributes Index

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
1	Anti Trust	Peter	
5	Agenda	Peter	We can add items
44	Discuss Attributes	Group	Attributes Index
5	Discuss content for progress report to RRSC	Group	Peter will create a deck

Notes

- Attendee Introductions
- Discuss Attributes
 - Attributes Index reviewed
 - Minimum vs expanded vs. regulatory vs. rejected
 - Susan: Derived fields vs raw list.
 - Example: Written premium must be provided but earned can be calculated
 - Dale: Explain how derived items are derived. Primary vs. minimum
 - Eric Lowe: Start at bottom, deal with basic data needed in HDS, leave rest (derivation) to smart contract. Identify just basic data collection
 - For each derived field, explain the columns that feed it.
 - Debate on NAIC equivalent or something more, better, different
 - Eric Lowe: Just emulating NAIC misses opportunities for better data. How do we make this one row (record) in a table in HDS then regulators
 - James Madison: Concerned that baking in a transactional model now, will keep it from getting broader, better later.
 - Truman: Monthly snapshot is the operational tempo of the data not the grain of it.
 - James: Grain comes down to key.
 - Ruturaj: Recommends continuing this exercise as is.
 - o Attributes List discussed
 - Territory
 - Carriers often use proprietary ones so may not provide.
 - Sandra: Consider developing a standardized list.
 - Eric Lowe: Just use zip code to cross different mappings.
 - · Agreed on 9 digit zip.
 - Carriers don't want to provide where these policies are.
 - Ruturaj: This list is based on current stat plan, thus they are de facto essential. But should these be put onto openIDL? Question to remain open to next week.
 - Dale: Do we need business rules? Yes.

Action items

Pull out business rules

Review the list and update the page
Agenda for next week by Wednesday
Draft presentation to steering committee on the model on 12/7/21 @ 2pm EST.