

2022-3-18 Meeting Agenda

Date

18 Mar 2022

Antitrust Policy Notice

Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.

Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrave of the firm of Gesmer Updegrave LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.



This is a weekly series for The Regulatory Reporting Data Model Working Group. The RRDMWG is a collaborative group of insurers, regulators and other insurance industry innovators dedicated to the development of data models that will support regulatory reporting through an openIDL node. The data models to be developed will reflect a greater synchronization of data for insurer statistical and financial data and a consistent methodology that insurers and regulators can leverage to modernize the data reporting environment. The models developed will be reported to the Regulatory Reporting Steering Committee for approval for publication as an open-source data model.

openIDL Community is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/98908804279?pwd=Q1FGcFhUQk5RMElkaVlFTWtXb09jQT09>

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Passcode: 740215

One tap mobile

+16699006833,,98908804279# US (San Jose)

+12532158782,,98908804279# US (Tacoma)

Dial by your location

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

888 788 0099 US Toll-free

877 853 5247 US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Find your local number: <https://zoom.us/u/aAqJFpt9B>

Attendees

- [peter antley](#)
- [Megan Ebling](#)
- [Ken Sayers](#)
- [Dale Harris](#)
- [George Bradner](#)
- [James Madison](#)
- Susan C.-by phone
- Allen Thompson

- [Ash Naik](#)
- Jeff Braswell
- Kevin Petruzielo
- [Kristin McDonald](#)
- Libby Crews
- Matt Hinds-Aldrich
- Melissa Pereira
- Michael Payne
- Mike Nurse
- [Nathan Southern](#)
- Nike Vitale
- [Ryan Blakeney](#)
- Stephen Lee
- [Truman Esmond](#)

Meeting Minutes

- Anti Trust Policy notice reviewed
- Data Modeling
 - Technical Considerations discussed
 - RDS, not NOSQL. Traditional ANSI SQL makes sense
 - Min ANSI requirements reviewed
 - Model Layers discussed
 - Goal: Flat dictionary to something that can be used
 - James Madison: On spreadsheet: groupings to get to entity-relation model
 - 4 layers: physical table layer, need machine efficiency, will not look like business model.
 - Today, look to get business model and the entities.
 - Persistent view layer, needed for extraction table and proper data loading and question answering.
 - Showed table models, just from groupings the spreadsheet
 - Reviewed tables to discuss relations between tables/entities
 - Can have Day 1 and Day 2 elements in the same entity
 - Used business model coverage elements to show how to move between business model and data model (tables, ERD)
 - Susan Chudwick says for Auto it's all at the vehicle level.
 - James Madison: Keep repeating this process for additional elements and we will get this done well and complete
 - Last week's suggestion
 - Should we start with AAIS stat plans as day 1?
 - Objections of starting with AAIS stat plan on HO?
 - Susan has questions on timing. How long will architecture take?
 - Dale wants to build existing stat plan so it can become the vehicle for stat reporting
 - Unpacking values like 2 fields that become 8.
 - Moving from code "01" to text "AL" for example.
 - Should Auto go back to stat plan?
 - Ken: first day all the same. New format not even finished, plus should do all the same.

Discussion items

Time	Item	Who	Notes
	Object Modeling	James /Ken/Peter	Technical Considerations
	Discussion to Adopt All AAIS Stat Plans	Group	AAIS stat plans have already been approved with states. Starting Day 1 attributes here is the leanest approach that will allow for Regulatory Reporting to happen via openIDL.

Recording



RRDMWG- openl...3-18-2022.mp4

Action items

- ☐ Susan – Confirm that all is at vehicle level, research UI/UM stacking
- ☐