

# 2022-11-04 Meeting Agenda

## Date

04 Nov 2022

## Attendees

- [Nathan Southern](#)
- [peter antley](#)
- [Sean W. Bohan](#)
- [Dale Harris](#)
- [peter antley](#)
- [Lori Munn](#)
- Lanaya Nelson
- Brian Hoffman
- Matt Hinds-Aldrich
- Kevin Petruzielo
- Patti Norton-Gatto
- [James Madison](#)

## Antitrust Policy Notice

Linux Foundation meetings involve participation by industry competitors, and it is the intention of the Linux Foundation to conduct all of its activities in accordance with applicable antitrust and competition laws. It is therefore extremely important that attendees adhere to meeting agendas, and be aware of, and not participate in, any activities that are prohibited under applicable US state, federal or foreign antitrust and competition laws.

Examples of types of actions that are prohibited at Linux Foundation meetings and in connection with Linux Foundation activities are described in the Linux Foundation Antitrust Policy available at <http://www.linuxfoundation.org/antitrust-policy>. If you have questions about these matters, please contact your company counsel, or if you are a member of the Linux Foundation, feel free to contact Andrew Updegrave of the firm of Gesmer Updegrave LLP, which provides legal counsel to the Linux Foundation.



This is a weekly series for The Regulatory Reporting Data Model Working Group. The RRDMWG is a collaborative group of insurers, regulators and other insurance industry innovators dedicated to the development of data models that will support regulatory reporting through an openIDL node. The data models to be developed will reflect a greater synchronization of data for insurer statistical and financial data and a consistent methodology that insurers and regulators can leverage to modernize the data reporting environment. The models developed will be reported to the Regulatory Reporting Steering Committee for approval for publication as an open-source data model.

openIDL Community is inviting you to a scheduled Zoom meeting.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://zoom.us/j/98908804279?pwd=Q1FGcFhUQk5RMEpkaVIFTWtXb09jQT09>

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Passcode: 740215

One tap mobile

+16699006833,,98908804279# US (San Jose)

+12532158782,,98908804279# US (Tacoma)

Dial by your location

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

888 788 0099 US Toll-free

877 853 5247 US Toll-free

Meeting ID: 989 0880 4279

Find your local number: <https://zoom.us/j/98908804279>

## Minutes

### I. Introductory Comments

A. Mr. Antley read anti-trust statement from LF

B. Welcome to attendees

### II. Agenda

#### A. Recap on AWG/Spike POCs

1. Working on validations
2. Tables created about 2 weeks ago
3. This week we created an API that exposes HDS data - looking at how it will work
4. Developed a pattern for sending a query string across (SQL, keywords) - extraction pattern created
5. Mr. Antley ran a sample extraction pattern using a test script and demonstrated it before the group - A demo.
  - a. Ran through input strings (EP, Car Years, Incurred Count/Loss, Etc.)
  - b. We are looking at enlisting pre-built functionalities
  - c. Mr. Madison: noted that we have a SQL problem as we run info through the internet. Give a query and return a table is the fundamental pattern. Transformation into a table.
    - i. One of questions for day: what would folks in business role want to obtain from POC? (posed to attendees)
    - ii. Ken Sayers: end-to-end data transfer - question: will this be acceptable in carrier clouds? And what is the business value?
6. Mr. Antley: more about the demo will be discussed on Monday

B. Mr. Antley Senofi - ran through demos this week with Antley - they plan to show PA how to write and read to the test net chain.

C. Looking ahead: how does HL Fabric fit into the architecture? How does it work with what we just saw? (KS: This is the next item on the AWG agenda)

#### D. POC scope - Ken Sayers

1. We need to restate POC scope from the perspective of business - is this a sound approach, is it agreeable to people who will use it, etc.?
2. KS: ran through functional requirements of POC - we want to keep an eye on the scope and make sure we're proving everything that we need to in the Proof of Concept.
3. On a high level: generate the report and it reconciles/matches expected
4. Where does the data reside and what happens along the way to the report
5. Multiple sources/pull the data/create the report
6. KS pointed to the need to re-vet the requirements one by one - and noted that it is not limited to POC. DH: some of these requirements may be expendable.
7. KS: suggested creating a side table that can be updated by the group with accompanying comments. Approx time frame:



GMT20221104-1...1920x1080.mp4

## Goals

## Discussion items

| Time | Item | Who | Notes |
|------|------|-----|-------|
|      |      |     |       |

## Action items

